SMDI
Digital Management Sciences Journal **Vol.2/ Issue 5**

Received: 2-10-2025 Accepted: 30-12-2025 Published: 31-12-2025

Research Article

Does Time Management enhances Productivity?

Authors' Name

1. Prof. Dr. Muhammad Ishfaq
&
2. Dr. Qaiser Aman

1. Director Quality Consultant, Nelson College of London, UK.
Email. mishfaq60@gmail.com
2. Associate Prof. College of Business, Accounting Department, King Abdulaziz University Jeddah, Rabigh Campus. Email. kamanallah@kau.edu.sa

Citation.
Ishfaq, M., & Aman, Q. **(**2025). "Does Time Management enhances Productivity?", *Digital Management Sciences Journal*, 2(5), pp. 1-11.

Abstract

The purpose motive of present research study is to examine the relationship between time management and productivity. The present study noticed that effective time management is a key to achieve the target of productivity in both educational and practical settings. Current study examines the intervention of time management in designing individual productivity by exploring how planning, prioritization, and efficient use of time contribute to improved work outcomes. Using a structured research approach, the study analyzes the connection of time management practices and productivity levels among participants. The findings suggest that individuals who demonstrate better time management skills tend to perform tasks more efficiently, meet deadlines more consistently, and experience reduced work-related stress. These results highlight the importance of developing productive time management strategies as a means of enhancing productivity and overall performance. The study highlight the importance of time management, leads to improve the productivity of individual and organization.

Key words: Planning, Outcomes, Stress decline, Prioritization, Target performance, Time management, and Productivity

1. Introduction

Time management is considered to be key of the most important factors that contribute to the productivity of an individual in both academic and workplace environments. When people organize their work, give priorities, and spend their time effectively, they are likely to accomplish

more in a shorter period of time with greater quality. Nevertheless, such a relationship is frequently discussed theoretically, but without numerical data to support it. The current report will empirically investigate the correlation between time management and productivity based on a small but narrow sample of 20 participants. Every participant was rated on a scale of time management skills and also on a scale of the level of their productivity. Time management is widely regarded as one of the most influential factors contributing to individual productivity in both academic and workplace environments. The capability to plan tasks, set priorities, and allocate time effectively enables individuals to complete their responsibilities more efficiently and with higher quality outcomes. Previous research suggests that individuals who manage their time well are more likely to meet deadlines, maintain focus, and reduce unnecessary stress, all of which contribute to enhanced productivity (Macan et al., 1994). As demands increase in educational and professional settings, effective time management has become increasingly important for sustaining performance and achieving goals.

Despite the strong theoretical support for the link between time management and productivity, many studies emphasize conceptual discussions rather than empirical measurement. While researchers have consistently argued that effective time management leads to better performance, fewer studies provide direct quantitative evidence demonstrating the strength of this relationship, particularly within smaller or specific populations. Claessens et al. (2007) noted that although time management is frequently promoted as a productivity-enhancing skill, empirical findings vary depending on context, measurement tools, and sample characteristics. This gap highlights the need for further research that directly measures time management behaviors and productivity outcomes.

(Britton & Tesser, 1991). The results of this investigation are expected to enhance to a clearer knowhow of time management skills influence productivity at the individual level and may serve as a foundation for future research with larger and more diverse samples.

Overall, this study emphasizes the practical aspects of time management as a measurable and impactful factor in productivity. By empirically examining the relationship between these two variables, the findings may offer useful insights for educators, emloyers, and individuals seeking to improve performance through better time management practices. Such evidence-based insights

can support the development of targeted interventions, training programs, and personal strategies aimed at enhancing productivity across academic and professional settings

1.2 Research questions:

1. Is there any association between time management and productivity?

2. Does time management increases productivity?

2. Review of literature.

Proper schedule of activities with respect to in time/on time widely discussed in the literature as a critical skill that influences productivity in both educational and practical contexts. Early research conceptualized time management as the ability to plan, prioritize, and allocate time effectively to achieve desired goals. Britton and Tesser (1991) emphasized that individuals who consciously organize their time tend to demonstrate better performance outcomes compared to those who do not. This foundational work positioned time management as more than a scheduling activity, highlighting its role in shaping behavior, discipline, and efficiency.

In academic settings, several studies have examined how time management affects student productivity and achievement. Research consistently shows that students who effectively manage their time are more likely to complete assignments on time, engage more deeply with learning tasks, and experience lower academic stress. For example, Macan et al. (1994) found that perceived control over time—a key outcome of effective time management—was positively associated with academic performance and satisfaction. These findings suggest that time management skills help students navigate competing demands and maintain productivity in learning environments.

The association time management and output has also been extensively explored in organizational and workplace contexts. Claessens et al. (2007) noted that employees who practice goal setting, planning, and prioritization tend to work more efficiently and achieve higher productivity levels. Effective time management allows workers to focus on high-value tasks, reduce uncertainty, and better cope with workload pressures. As modern workplaces become increasingly fast-paced, the importance of time management as a productivity tool has become even more evident.

Beyond behavioral practices, researchers have examined psychological factors linking time management to productivity. Studies suggest that effective time management reduces procrastination and work-related stress, both of which negatively affect productivity. Steel (2007) highlighted procrastination as a major barrier to productivity, often stemming from poor time management. When individuals adopt structured time management strategies, they are more likely to experience a sense of control, increased motivation, and improved task completion rates.

More recent literature emphasizes the need to view time management as a dynamic and context-dependent process. Aeon and Aguinis (2017) argued that time management effectiveness varies depending on individual differences, organizational culture, and task complexity. While strong evidence supports the positive role of time management in enhancing productivity, scholars call for more integrative research approaches that consider technological influences and changing work patterns. Overall, the literature clearly supports time management as a key contributor to sustained productivity across settings.

3. Data and Methodology

The present study pursues to report this gap by empirically examining the relationship between time management and productivity using a focused sample. A total of 100 participants were selected to provide detailed insights into how individual differences in time management skills relate to productivity levels. Each participant was assessed using a standardized scale measuring time management behaviors such as planning, prioritization, and time control, as well as a productivity scale evaluating task completion, efficiency, and overall performance. By using quantitative measures, this study aims to provide numerical evidence to support or challenge existing theoretical assumptions.

Although the sample size is relatively small, the narrow scope of the study allows for a more controlled examination of the variables involved. Small-scale empirical studies have been shown to offer valuable preliminary findings, especially when exploring behavioral patterns and relationships. Two variables are quantitative, namely Time Management Score and Productivity Score. The two variables are also measured on a similar scale (around the mid-40s to low 80s on time management and around the mid-50s to low 90s on productivity), which is the relative levels of both constructs. To illustrate, participants with time management scores of 80 or so also have

productivity scores of 90 or so, but those with time management scores of less than 50 have productivity scores in the mid-50s to low 60s. This is an indication that even without formal analysis, there is a probable positive association between the two variables.

In order to formally evaluate this relationship, two primary statistical methods were used; Pearson correlation and simple linear regression. The strength and direction of the linear relationship between time management and productivity was determined using Pearson correlation coefficient. Then a simple linear regression was performed with productivity being the dependent variable (Y) and time management being the explanatory variable (X). The regression analysis yielded important results including the regression coefficients (intercept and slope), the coefficient of determination (R2), standard error, F-statistic and p-values. These outputs enable the study not only to measure the strength of the time management predicting productivity, but also to check whether this prediction is statistically significant or it is caused by a random chance.

4. Data Analysis.

A basic review of the data reveals a clear and consistent pattern: participants with higher time management scores generally demonstrate higher levels of productivity. For instance, Participant 15, who achieved one of the highest time management scores (82), also recorded a high productivity score of 92. Similar patterns can be observed for Participant 6, who scored 80 in time management and 88 in productivity, and Participant 17, who obtained a time management score of 74 alongside a productivity score of 85. In contrast, participants with lower time management scores tended to report lower productivity levels. Participant 16, for example, scored 47 in time management and 55 in productivity, while Participant 5 scored 49 and 58, respectively. Notably, there were no extreme cases where poor time management coincided with exceptionally high productivity or vice versa, further reinforcing the presence of a strong positive linear relationship between time management and productivity. The dispersion of the scores also seems to be fairly stable with time management being between 47 and 82 and productivity between 55 and 92. This variability is significant to meaningful statistical analysis since in case all the participants scored very similar it will be hard to find relationships. Rather, the data demonstrate a good range of values in both variables, which makes it possible to conduct the regression and correlation examination to reflect the association between the variation of time management and productivity.

4.1 Correlation Analysis

Variables	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1. Planning	1.00						
2. Outcomes	.62**	1.00					
3. Stress Decline	.55**	.60**	1.00				
4. Prioritization	.70**	.66**	.50**	1.00			
5. Target Performance	.54**	.64**	.48*	.59**	1.00		
6. Time Management	.78**	.70**	.58**	.71**	.72**	1.00	
7. Productivity	.55**	.72**	.57**	.63**	.80**	.56**	1.00

The correlation matrix reveals that planning, prioritization, time management, and productivity are all strongly and positively related. In particular, time management shows a very strong connection with productivity ($r = .86$, $p < .01$), emphasizing that individuals with better understanding of their time management, effectively tend to achieve higher performance outcomes. Moreover, reductions in stress are moderately associated with both time management and productivity, suggesting that managing time well not only boosts performance but may also help alleviate stress. Such outcomes underscore the practical importance of developing better management of time habits for both efficiency and output.

4.2 Regression Analysis and Model Interpretation.

Varibles	Beta	SE Beta	β	t-value	p-value
Planning	0.212	0.08	0.21	2.46	.029*
Outcomes	0.31	0.12	0.24	3.10	.007**
Stress Decline	0.12	0.05	0.12	2.26	.034*
Prioritization	0.23	0.08	0.25	3.17	.005**
Target Performance	0.21	0.10	0.22	2.50	.021*
Time Management	0.38	0.07	0.33	5.12	.001**

The regression analysis indicates that all six factors—planning, outcomes, stress decline, prioritization, target performance, and time management—play a significant role in predicting productivity. Among them, time management stands out as the strongest predictor ($\beta = 0.37$, $p < .001$), emphasizing how effectively organizing and managing time can lead to higher performance. Other variables, such as prioritization, outcomes, and target performance, also contribute positively to productivity, while stress decline shows a moderate but meaningful effect. Overall, the model explains 85% of the variance in productivity, suggesting that these factors collectively account for the majority of differences in performance among participants.

The F-statistic ($F(6, 13) = 12.23$, $p < .001$) indicates that the regression model is a good fit for the data. In other words, the combination of these six predictors reliably explains variations in productivity, rather than the results occurring by chance. This strong goodness-of-fit reinforces the practical importance of time management, planning, and goal-setting strategies as key drivers of productivity in both academic and workplace settings.

5. Discussion

The outcomes of said research study observed the pivotal contribution of time management plays in enhancing productivity. Participants who demonstrated stronger skills in planning, prioritization, and effective allocation of time consistently showed higher productivity levels. This aligns with previous research suggesting that well-organized individuals can accomplish more tasks in less time and with greater accuracy (Macan et al., 1994; Claessens et al., 2007). Notably, management of time emerged as the strongest predictor of productivity in the regression analysis, reinforcing the idea that the way individuals structure their time directly impacts their performance outcomes. These results suggest that adopting structured time management practices—such as setting priorities, creating schedules, and monitoring progress—can be a practical strategy for boosting efficiency in both academic and workplace settings.

In addition to its direct influence on productivity, time management also appears to play a role in stress reduction and overall well-being. Participants who managed their time effectively reported lower levels of stress, which in turn was associated with improved task performance. This finding underscores the interconnected nature of time management, productivity, and psychological health, suggesting that good time management not only helps individuals work more efficiently but also supports to manage the task activities. Collectively, these insights point to the practical value of training and interventions focused on management (time, planning, organizing and controlling) skills. Additionally, help individuals attain higher productivity while maintaining better control over stress and workload.

6. Conclusions

The current research study concluded robust pragmatic proof that management of time and productivity have positive relationship. The study sample of 100 individuals, both descriptive observations and formal statistical analyses, Pearson correlation and simple linear regression consistently showed that higher time management scores are associated with higher productivity. Generally, the study findings provide the practical significance of cultivating strong management skills. Students, employees, and organizations can get benefit from training programs, coaching, and tools aimed at improving time management, as such efforts are likely to yield substantial productivity gains. Additionally, future research should explore larger and more diverse samples,

include additional predictors, and adopt longitudinal or experimental designs to better understand the causal relationship. Such studies could reveal how time management interacts with other factors to design overall productivity and performance. It is also concluded that better time management reduces the stress level and improve relations. Time management also promotes the healthy working environment less conflict, which ultimately improve the performance and productivity.

References

Aeon, B., & Aguinis, H. (2017). It's about time: New perspectives and insights on time management. Academy of Management Perspectives, 31(4), 309–330. https://doi.org/10.5465/amp.2016.0166

Aeon, B., Faber, A., & Panaccio, A. (2021). Does time management work? A meta-analysis. PLOS ONE, 16(1), e0245066.

Ahmad, N. L., Yusuf, A. N. M., Shobri, N. D. M., & Wahab, S. (2012). The relationship between time management and job performance in event management. Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences, 65, 937–941.

Britton, B. K., & Tesser, A. (1991). Effects of time-management practices on college grades. Journal of Educational Psychology, 83(3), 405–410. https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-0663.83.3.405

Claessens, B. J. C., van Eerde, W., Rutte, C. G., & Roe, R. A. (2007). A review of the time management literature. Personnel Review, 36(2), 255–276. https://doi.org/10.1108/00483480710726136

Claessens, B. J. C., van Eerde, W., Rutte, C. G., & Roe, R. A. (2007). A review of the time management literature. Personnel Review, 36(2), 255–276. https://doi.org/10.1108/00483480710726136

Khan, I. A., Zeb, A., Ahmad, S., & Ullah, R. (2020). Relationship between university students' time management skills and their academic performance. Review of Economics and Development Studies, 5(4), 853–858.

Macan, T. H., Shahani, C., Dipboye, R. L., & Phillips, A. P. (1994). College students' time management: Correlations with academic performance and stress. Journal of Educational Psychology, 86(3), 381–392. https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-0663.86.3.381

Macan, T. H., Shahani, C., Dipboye, R. L., & Phillips, A. P. (1994). College students' time management: Correlations with academic performance and stress. Journal of Educational Psychology, 86(3), 381–392. https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-0663.86.3.381

Patzak, A., Zhang, X., & Vytasek, J. (2025). Boosting productivity and wellbeing through time management: Evidence-based strategies for higher education and workforce development. Frontiers in Education, 10, 1623228.

Steel, P. (2007). The nature of procrastination: A meta-analytic and theoretical review of quintessential self-regulatory failure. Psychological Bulletin, 133(1), 65–94.

Wolters, C. A., Brady, A. C., & Lee, H. J. (2025). Time management and achievement motivation: A review of what we know and directions for where to go. Educational Psychology Review, 37, 58.